The Third Reaching

How Muhammad, Facing the Fractured Tribes of the Hijaz and His Own Clan's Idol-Sanctuary, Reached a Third Time for the God of Abraham and the Mosaic Prohibition of the Image — the Same Canonised Word This Project Traced from Sinai into Christendom — and Re-Forged It More Uncompromisingly Than Either Deployment Before; Read on Precisely the Terms of the Companion Studies, with the Truth of the Revelation Bracketed and Never Mocked, the Costs Counted on Every Side, and the Reconstruction Held as Tested, Not Revealed

Thomas J. Bryan

with Claude (Anthropic)

Patterns of Power · 2026

Preface

❧

This volume is the third in a sequence. The project has twice traced a single deposited word — the one God of Abraham and the Mosaic prohibition of the image — through the structure of a civilisation: once as it was laid into the Torah and inherited by Christendom, and once as the charge his execution was made to carry ran across two thousand years of European history. This study follows the same word to a third place, seventh-century Arabia, where it was not inherited passively but *reached for* — picked up deliberately by Muhammad, facing the fractured tribes of the Hijaz and his own clan's idol-sanctuary, and re-forged into a form more uncompromising than either deployment before. The completion of the pattern is the point: one deployment is an event, two a coincidence, three across systems as different as a tribal scribal priesthood, a Roman imperial church, and an Arabian prophet's movement is a structure — and the difference of the costumes is exactly what proves the identity of the body beneath them.

Because the subject is a living faith of some two billion people, the disciplines this project always holds are held here with visible, deliberate evenhandedness, for the ethic of the study is precisely that it applies to Islam neither a harsher rule nor a softer one than it applied to Judaism and to Christianity. *The truth of the religion is bracketed absolutely* — the study does not adjudicate whether Muhammad received a genuine revelation, any more than the companion studies ruled on Sinai or the resurrection; it reads function on the surface and sets the believer's conviction respectfully outside a frame that cannot reach it. The Muslim understanding that this was not the invention of a religion but the *restoration* of Abraham's original pure monotheism is represented faithfully and without mockery. *Sincerity is bracketed. The costs are held with gravity on every side* — the unity, order, learning, and dignity the reaching produced, set honestly beside the conquest and the rigidity it also carried, with no system graded on a curve. And *the reconstruction is confessed as a reconstruction*, with particular force: the traditional account of pre-Islamic Mecca and of Muhammad's life rests on Islamic sources set down generations after the events, and the historical-critical scholarship that probes them is genuinely contested. What follows is the best reading the evidence supports, held — like the faith's own claims, and like the framework itself — as tested, never revealed. The volume closes, as every volume closes, on the posture the whole work is held to.

A Note on This Collaboration

❧

The framework in these pages is Thomas J. Bryan's, and so is the recognition this third study completes: that the God of Abraham and his law against the image are not three religions' shared possession by accident of borrowing, but one canonised word demonstrating, three times over and in three maximally different costumes, what a canonised word does — outrun its authors, forget its occasion, and bind by one mechanism the lives of people its makers never imagined. He supplied the spine: the idol-sanctuary and its custodian clan, the reaching for the God already in the field, the descent from Ishmael that made the reaching a restoration, the word re-forged stricter than before, the uncreated canon that fixed it hardest of all, and the Runnymede model — 'no free man' outrunning the barons — that states the mechanism in a phrase. He set, too, the discipline the subject most demands: that Islam be read on exactly the terms of the companion studies, the truth bracketed and never mocked, and that nothing be taken on anyone's word but verified. The documentary labour — the recovery and checking of the record, the careful separation of the firm from the reconstructed, the reliance on neutral and traditional sources rather than polemical ones, the honest flagging of dispute — and the prose are the work of Claude (Anthropic), developed in the same dialogue. His is the architecture and the eye for the pattern; mine is the documentary labour and the composition. Neither half would produce the work alone. Its conclusions are held, like everything the project holds, as tested — never revealed.

The Argument in Eight Parts

›

I The Question, and the Evenhandedness

Why the same Near Eastern word, reached for a third time, completes a pattern rather than merely repeating one; the discipline that governs a study of a living faith of two billion people — exactly the discipline applied to the other two, no harsher and no softer; and the model that states the whole mechanism in a single phrase: once it is written, it is written.

II The Field

The structural situation into which the word was reached: a fractured tribal society with no unifying order, and at its sacred centre a cube of idols whose custodian clan drew prestige, mediation, and revenue from the pilgrimage — the managed belief and the idol-economy that a unifying monotheism would have to break to be born.

III The Materials at Hand

How the reaching was done with what the field supplied: a marginal member of the custodian clan reaching past his clan's idols to the God of Abraham already half-present in the landscape; the claimed descent from Ishmael that made the reaching a homecoming rather than an import; and the incorporation of the whole Abrahamic and Mosaic inheritance.

IV The Same Word, Re-Forged

How the Mosaic prohibition was taken up and made stricter than in either prior deployment: oneness as the whole of the faith and association as the one unforgivable sin; the cleansing of the idol-cube that was also the breaking of an economy; and the comprehensive banishment of the image that Christendom never matched.

V The Architect's Move and the Strongest Canon

How the reaching was welded into a polity and then sealed into the least revisable canon a civilisation has produced: the migration that turned a persecuted preaching into a community-state, and the doctrine of the uncreated word that fixed the text beyond all revision — once it is written, written, at the highest intensity the project has examined.

VI The Word Outruns the Author

How a word reached for to unify one peninsula bound a third of the world for fourteen centuries, its original situation forgotten; the interpreter class that grew to hold its reading; and the Runnymede mechanism shown at civilisational scale — the reason for the words become irrelevant the moment they are fixed.

VII The Same Machine, the Third Costume

The comparative recognition this study exists to make available: that the same word — the one God of Abraham, the forbidden image, the absorbed Mosaic law — was deployed three times, by three architects as different as the record affords, for three structural situations, by one and the same mechanism; and that the difference of the costumes is exactly what proves the identity of the body.

VIII Recognition

What the third reaching shows, and the verdict the brackets allow: that the word was reached for to meet a real need and met it; that it then outran every reason it was reached for, toward unity and order and dignity in one direction and toward rigidity and conquest in another; and that the costs and the truth alike are held where an honest frame must hold them.

PART I

THE QUESTION, AND THE EVENHANDEDNESS

Why the same Near Eastern word, reached for a third time, completes a pattern rather than merely repeating one; the discipline that governs a study of a living faith of two billion people — exactly the discipline applied to the other two, no harsher and no softer; and the model that states the whole mechanism in a single phrase: once it is written, it is written

The Same Word, a Third Time

This project has twice now traced a single deposited word through the structure of a civilisation. It watched the prohibition of the image — *I am the Lord your God; you shall have no other gods; you shall make no idol* — laid into the Torah by the scribes of a small kingdom solving their own problems, and then carried, by the deliberate retention of the Hebrew scriptures and the conversion of an empire, into the operating law of Christendom. And it watched the God of that same scripture, and the charge his execution was made to carry, deployed across two thousand years of European history. The present study follows the same word to a third place, and the third place is the one that proves the pattern hardest, because here the word is not inherited passively, as Christendom inherited it, but *reached for* — picked up deliberately, by a third architect, facing a third structural situation, and re-forged into a form more uncompromising than either deployment before. The God of Abraham and his law against the image were reached for, in seventh-century Arabia, by Muhammad; and the reaching welded a fractured peninsula into a polity, a civilisation, and ultimately an empire that would carry the word from the Atlantic to the Indus.

That this completes a pattern rather than merely adding an instance is the point worth holding from the start. One deployment of a word is an event; two is a coincidence; three, across systems as different as a tribal scribal priesthood, a Roman imperial church, and an Arabian merchant-prophet's movement, is a *structure* — and the more different the costumes the three wear, the more undeniable the shared body beneath them. The study's interest is in that body: the mechanism by which a word, once canonised, outruns the situation it was written for and binds people who have no memory of that situation at all. And the cleanest statement of that mechanism is the one the collaborator returns to, drawn from a charter far from Arabia: when the barons forced their king to write that *no free man* should be seized except by lawful judgment, they meant themselves, a few thousand magnates protecting their own necks — but the words they chose were universal, and universal words cannot be kept to their authors' intent, and *no free man* outran the barons across the centuries into a

guarantee of liberty for everyone. Once it is written, it is written. The original reason becomes irrelevant. That is the engine this third reaching runs on, at the highest intensity the project has yet examined.

The Evenhandedness, Which Is the Ethic

Because the subject is a living faith of some two billion people, the disciplines this project always holds must be visibly, demonstrably the *same* disciplines it applied to the other two — for the ethic of this study is precisely its evenhandedness, and any tilt would betray it. So they are stated plainly. *The truth of the religion is bracketed absolutely.* This study does not adjudicate whether Muhammad received a genuine revelation, any more than the companion studies adjudicated whether the Torah was dictated at Sinai or whether the resurrection occurred; it reads function on the surface, in the record, and sets the believer's conviction respectfully outside a frame that cannot reach it. The Muslim holds that what happened in Arabia was not the invention of a religion but the *restoration* of the original pure monotheism of Abraham, corrupted into idolatry over the centuries and cleansed at last — and that self-understanding is represented here faithfully and without mockery, as the framework represented the believing accounts of the other two. *Sincerity is bracketed:* whether Muhammad or anyone around him believed what they proclaimed is unknowable and unnecessary. *The dead and the costs are held with gravity on every side* — the order and unity and civilisational flowering the reaching produced, set honestly beside the conquests and the rigidities it also carried, with no system graded on a curve. And *the reconstruction is confessed as a reconstruction,* with particular force here: the traditional account of pre-Islamic Mecca and of Muhammad's life rests on Islamic sources written down generations after the events, the earliest biographies later still, and the historical-critical scholarship that probes them is genuinely contested. What follows is the best reading the evidence supports, held — like the faith's own claims, and like the framework itself — as tested, never revealed.

PART II

THE FIELD

The structural situation into which the word was reached: a fractured tribal society with no unifying order, and at its sacred centre a cube of idols whose custodian clan drew prestige, mediation, and revenue from the pilgrimage — the managed belief and the idol-economy that a unifying monotheism would have to break to be born

A Peninsula Without an Apex

The field into which the word was reached was a society of tribes without a state — the structural condition this project watches most closely, because it is the condition a durable hierarchy has not yet crystallised out of. The Arabia of Muhammad's birth had no single coordinating apex, no emperor or king over the peninsula; it was a landscape of clans bound by kinship and blood-feud, where order rested on the fragile balance of tribal honour and the cycle of raid and vengeance, and where no word ran wider than the tribe that swore by it. Into a field like this, two things press toward consolidation: the want of a unifying order that could end the feud, and the presence of materials — beliefs already circulating — out of which such an order might be built. Both were present in the Hijaz. The want was the chronic bleeding of tribal warfare; the materials were the monotheism already in the air, for the peninsula was ringed and threaded with it — Jewish tribes settled at Yathrib and across the oases, Christian communities at its edges under the influence of the neighbouring empires, and the *hanifs*, native seekers who, the tradition holds, already groped toward the one God of Abraham without belonging to either older faith.

At the sacred centre of this field stood Mecca, and at the centre of Mecca stood the Kaaba — and the Kaaba is where the structural situation becomes precise, because it was not merely a shrine but an apparatus. The cube housed, by the tradition's own account, some three hundred and sixty idols, the deities of the various tribes gathered under one roof, with the divination-god Hubal chief among them; and around it the rites of circumambulation and sacrifice were performed by pilgrims drawn from across Arabia. Mecca was a *haram*, a sanctuary where violence was forbidden, and that sacred truce made it the one place the feuding tribes could safely gather — which made the pilgrimage also a fair, a market, a court of mediation, an engine of trade and prestige. And the clan that held the custodianship of this apparatus, that kept the keys and watered the pilgrims and drew the standing and the revenue that flowed from controlling the sacred centre of a whole peninsula's worship, was the Quraysh.

The Idols and Their Keepers

The point that organises everything to come is that the Quraysh were Muhammad's own tribe, and that the idol-sanctuary they kept was, in the framework's exact terms, a managed belief resting on an economy. The three hundred and sixty gods were the costume; the body was a function and a mechanism. The function was to make the existing order feel like the grain of things — every tribe's deity housed in the common shrine, the arrangement of the peninsula sacralised in the arrangement of the cube. The mechanism was the pilgrimage that the custodian clan administered and profited from, the standing the keys conferred, the mediation power that flowed from holding the one neutral ground. To worship at the Kaaba was to fund and confirm the Quraysh's position at the centre, exactly as to sacrifice at the Temple had been to fund the priesthood that kept it. A unifying monotheism — one God admitting no others, no idols, no intermediaries — could not be grafted onto this apparatus. It could only break it. To proclaim the one God against the three hundred and sixty was, whatever else it was and whatever its truth, an attack on the sacred-and-economic centre of the existing order, aimed by a member of the very clan that owned that centre. This is why the proclamation drew, first, not converts but persecution. The custodians understood, as the temple aristocracy of an earlier study had understood, that the word was a hazard to the arrangement their position rested on.

PART III

THE MATERIALS AT HAND

How the reaching was done with what the field supplied: a marginal member of the custodian clan reaching past his clan's idols to the God of Abraham already half-present in the landscape; the claimed descent from Ishmael that made the reaching a homecoming rather than an import; and the incorporation of the whole Abrahamic and Mosaic inheritance

Reaching Past the Idols to the One God

The reaching was done, as every architect's move in this project is done, with the materials the field supplied — and the decisive material was the God of Abraham, already half-present in the Arabian landscape and available to be reached for. Muhammad, by the tradition, was of the Quraysh but marginal within it: orphaned early, raised by kin, a man of the custodian clan who held none of the custodianship's power. From that position he reached past his own clan's idols to the one God already revered at the edges of the field by Jews and Christians and groped toward by the *hanifs* — and proclaimed that God alone, against all the others. The proclamation's core was a single uncompromising negation, the word this project has tracked from Sinai: there is no god but God, who admits no partner and tolerates no image. In the framework's terms the move is legible as the laying of a new managed belief over the field — but the legibility of the structural move says nothing, and is meant to say nothing, about the truth of what was proclaimed, which the study brackets entirely. What can be read is the function: a unifying word, capable of binding tribes that no idol housed in a common shrine had ever truly unified, because a god who is the *only* god subordinates every tribal deity at once and makes one community of all who submit to him.

What made this reaching a homecoming rather than a foreign import — and this is essential to the tradition's own self-understanding, which the study represents faithfully — was the line of descent. Muhammad's people traced themselves, through the Arab genealogies, to Ishmael, the firstborn son of Abraham; and the tradition holds that Abraham and Ishmael themselves had raised the foundations of the Kaaba as a house of the one God, which only later generations had corrupted by filling it with idols. On this account the reaching was not the importation of a foreign Semitic monotheism but the *recovery* of Arabia's own buried inheritance — the restoration of the pure religion of Abraham, the *millat Ibrahim*, to the shrine Abraham had built. The study does not rule on whether this genealogy and this founding are history; the historical-critical scholarship doubts them, and the bracket holds. What matters structurally is the work the claim did: it made the new word native. It is one thing to

ask a people to abandon their gods for a stranger's god, and another to tell them they are returning to the God of their own father Abraham, whose house they had let fall into idolatry. The descent from Ishmael converted a revolution into a restoration, which is the most powerful form an architect's move can take — the new layer laid down as the recovery of the oldest one.

The Whole Inheritance, Taken Up

And with the God came the inheritance, taken up whole. The reaching did not stop at the bare proposition of the one God; it incorporated the figures and the law that the older scriptures had attached to him. Abraham and Ishmael, Moses and the giving of the law, the line of prophets — these became the prophets of Islam, Muhammad the last of their succession rather than the founder of a new line; the God who had brought a people out of Egypt was the same God now calling the Arabs to submission. The early community prayed, for a time, toward Jerusalem, the older faith's holy city, before the direction of prayer was turned to the Kaaba — a small ritual fact that records the larger one, that the new word understood itself as continuous with the old. The Mosaic prohibition came with the rest: the first words of the older law, against other gods and against the image, were not quoted as foreign scripture but absorbed as the substance of the new proclamation. This is the framework's substrate-independence shown at its clearest. The same body — the one God, the forbidden image, the law that makes the arrangement feel like the grain of reality — was carried into a third costume, an Arabian one, by an architect who reached for it deliberately because it was the material in the field best suited to the unification the field demanded.

PART IV

THE SAME WORD, RE-FORGED

How the Mosaic prohibition was taken up and made stricter than in either prior deployment: oneness as the whole of the faith and association as the one unforgivable sin; the cleansing of the idol-cube that was also the breaking of an economy; and the comprehensive banishment of the image that Christendom never matched

Oneness, and the Unforgivable

The word, re-forged, came out harder than it had gone in — and the hardening is the heart of this third deployment. In the older law the prohibition of other gods and images stood first among many commandments; in the new proclamation the oneness of God became the whole foundation, the single axis on which everything turned. *Tawhid* — the absolute, undivided oneness of God — was made the first and last of the faith, declared in the testimony that there is no god but God; and its violation, *shirk*, the association of any partner or image or intermediary with the one God, was made not one sin among others but the gravest of all and, uniquely, the one sin the scripture declares God will not forgive. No prior deployment of the word had concentrated it so completely. The Mosaic law had hedged the prohibition among hundreds of others and a people had argued for centuries over their interpretation; the new proclamation made the negation of all other gods the entire centre of gravity of the religion, the thing a single sentence of testimony affirmed and a single named sin denied. The word against the other gods and the image, which had been the first commandment of an older law, became here very nearly the *whole* law's foundation.

And the proclamation carried, exactly as the older deployments had, an economic edge beneath the theological one — a point the tradition's own scholars have made, that the scriptural condemnation of the stone altars was not merely a rejection of idols but a dismantling of the social and economic power structure of the priestly class that had profited from the old sacrificial rites. To strike the idols was to strike the custodians; the theology and the economy fell together, as they had at the Temple, as they had at the high places. This became literal in the year the community, grown into a power, returned to Mecca and took it: the idols of the Kaaba were thrown down and destroyed, the three hundred and sixty cleared from the cube, and the shrine rededicated to the one God alone — the moment marked, in the tradition, by the recitation that truth had come and falsehood had vanished. The sacred centre of the old order was captured and purified in a single stroke, and the apparatus that had funded the custodian clan was converted into the apparatus of the new faith, the pilgrimage preserved but turned wholly toward the one God.

The Image, Banished Furthest

Where the word was taken furthest of all was on the image, and this is the through-line from the companion study made vivid. Christendom had inherited the Mosaic prohibition and then, over centuries, argued its way into a vast tradition of sacred images — icons, statues, painted saints, the figured cathedral — punctuated only by episodic iconoclasms. Islam took the prohibition in the opposite direction and held it. The scripture's condemnation of idolatry, sharpened by the sayings attributed to the Prophet that warned against the making of living forms as an arrogation of God's sole prerogative as the giver of form, issued in a comprehensive aniconism of the religious realm: the sacred art of Islam turned away from the figure altogether and toward the word and the pattern, the soaring calligraphy and the infinite geometry and the arabesque that fill the mosque where Christendom would have set the image of a man. The prohibition was not total across all of life — figural painting flourished in the courtly and secular manuscripts of Persia and the Ottoman and Mughal worlds, sometimes even depicting the prophets with the face veiled, and Muslims interpreted the prohibition variously across the centuries — but in the religious heart of the civilisation the image was banished more thoroughly and more durably than any prior keeper of the word had managed. The desert mountain's prohibition, which the companion study followed into Christendom and on to a Chinese ancestral tablet, reached in Islam its most complete and most lasting form. The same word; the strictest costume.

PART V

THE ARCHITECT'S MOVE AND THE STRONGEST CANON

How the reaching was welded into a polity and then sealed into the least revisable canon a civilisation has produced: the migration that turned a persecuted preaching into a community-state, and the doctrine of the uncreated word that fixed the text beyond all revision — once it is written, written, at the highest intensity the project has examined

From Preaching to Polity

A word, however powerful, is only a word until it is welded to a polity, and the welding is the architect's decisive move. The turning point of the whole history is the migration — the *hijra* — when Muhammad and his followers, persecuted in Mecca by the custodians whose order the proclamation threatened, removed to Yathrib, thereafter Medina, and there the preaching became a community-state. At Medina the new word acquired what it had lacked: a territory, a body of law, an arbiter of disputes, a military capacity — the floor of organised force on which every durable order stands. The agreement that bound the tribes and factions of Medina into a single community under the new arbitration converted kinship-fractured Arabia, in one location, into something it had never been, a polity organised around a shared submission rather than a shared bloodline. From that base the community grew into the power that would return to take Mecca, cleanse the Kaaba, and within a single century carry the word across an arc of conquest from Spain to the Indus. The architect's move had done what the field demanded: it had supplied the unifying apex the peninsula of feuding tribes had never possessed, and supplied it by welding the reached-for word to a state.

The Uncreated Word

And then the word was canonised — sealed into the most unrevisable form a canon has ever taken, which is why this third deployment runs the loaded-word mechanism at an intensity the earlier ones did not reach. The recitations Muhammad delivered were gathered, after his death, into a single authoritative text, the variant collections suppressed in favour of one codex; and around that text there crystallised a doctrine more absolute than anything in the older deployments. The book was held to be not the inspired writing of men, as even the most exalted view of the older scriptures allowed, but the literal, eternal, uncreated speech of God himself — co-eternal with him, dictated without human admixture, perfect and unalterable in its very Arabic. A text held to be one community's inspired memory can be contextualised; a text held to be God's own uncreated and

eternal word cannot be touched at all. The collaborator's phrase reaches here its strongest possible form. Once it is written, it is written — and a word believed to be not merely written by God's command but identical with God's own eternal speech is written more permanently than any human canon, fixed beyond not only revision but very nearly beyond interpretation's reach. The reaching for the God of Abraham, which had begun as one marginal man's response to one peninsula's disorder, was now sealed into a canon engineered — whatever its truth, which the study brackets — for a permanence that would let the word outrun its author further than either prior deployment ever could.

PART VI

THE WORD OUTRUNS THE AUTHOR

How a word reached for to unify one peninsula bound a third of the world for fourteen centuries, its original situation forgotten; the interpreter class that grew to hold its reading; and the Runnymede mechanism shown at civilisational scale — the reason for the words become irrelevant the moment they are fixed

The Situation Forgotten, the Word Remaining

A word forged for a specific situation does not stay with the situation; it outruns it, and the measure of this third reaching is how far. The proclamation answered a concrete and local problem — the disorder of the seventh-century Hijaz, the feud of its tribes, the idol-economy of one custodian clan. But within a century the word it forged was the law of an empire stretching across three continents, and within a few centuries the faith of peoples from the Atlantic shore of Africa to the islands of the eastern ocean, none of whom had any stake in or memory of the Quraysh, the Kaaba's three hundred and sixty idols, or the balance of Arabian tribes the proclamation had been pitched into. The original situation was forgotten utterly; the word remained, binding. A Berber, a Persian, a Bengali, a Javanese — formed into the word fourteen centuries and half a world away from Mecca — received the prohibition of the image and the testimony of the one God not as the answer to a vanished Arabian problem but as the eternal and uncreated truth of things. This is the Runnymede mechanism at civilisational scale: as *no free man* outran the barons who meant only themselves, so the reached-for word of one peninsula's unification outran every circumstance of its making and bound a third of the world. The reason for the words became irrelevant the moment they were canonised. Only the words remained, and the words ran on.

The Class That Holds the Reading

And as with every canonised word, an interpreter class grew to hold its authoritative reading — for a text held to be God's uncreated speech still has to be applied to cases its words never named, and the application is power. The scholars of the law, the *ulama*, became the class that held the reading: the jurists who elaborated the schools of law, the scholars who authenticated and weighed the sayings of the Prophet, the learned who alone could say what the eternal word required of a Muslim in a situation the seventh century had never imagined. As the framework predicts, this class took the form the belief-dial always takes at scale — its position inclining it to hold the canon both authoritative and esoteric, so that the reading must run through those trained to give it, and to read every failure of

the community as a failure of fidelity to the word rather than a defect in the word. And as the framework also predicts, the class was double-edged: an *ulama* with its own base in the community and its own command of the sources could, and at times did, become a counterweight to the rulers, prying even caliphs and sultans with the authority of the word the rulers themselves were bound to profess. The word, once written, did not belong to the rulers who wielded it. It belonged, as far as it belonged to anyone, to the class that could read it — which is the standing structural consequence of canonising a word that must nonetheless be applied.

PART VII

THE SAME MACHINE, THE THIRD COSTUME

The comparative recognition this study exists to make available: that the same word — the one God of Abraham, the forbidden image, the absorbed Mosaic law — was deployed three times, by three architects as different as the record affords, for three structural situations, by one and the same mechanism; and that the difference of the costumes is exactly what proves the identity of the body

Three Reachings, One Word

Set the three deployments side by side, which is the whole purpose of building this third one, and the structure stands clear of any single costume. The first reaching was the work of a scribal priesthood in a small Near Eastern kingdom, who deposited the prohibition of the image into a founding law to centralise a cult and mark a people, and whose word, canonised, hardened in exile into the unconquerable identity of a nation. The second was the work, across centuries, of a movement that retained that scripture by deliberate choice and an emperor who reached for the movement's network to make his rule cheap, so that the word became the operating law of Christendom. The third was the work of an Arabian prophet who reached for the same God and the same prohibition to unify a peninsula of feuding tribes and break his own clan's idol-economy, and who sealed the word into the most unrevisable canon of the three. A tribal scribe, a Roman emperor and his bishops, a merchant-prophet of the Hijaz: it would be difficult to assemble three architects more different in era, region, scale, and circumstance. And they reached for, or kept, or re-forged the *same word* — the one God who admits no other and tolerates no image, and the law attached to him — and worked it by the *same mechanism*: deposit it in a founding text, canonise it beyond revision, and let it outrun its authors down the centuries while an interpreter class holds its reading and the word decides the lives of people its makers never imagined.

Why the Difference Proves the Identity

The difference of the costumes is not an embarrassment to the pattern; it is the proof of it. If only systems that already resembled one another carried the word the same way, one could credit the resemblance and not the structure. But when the identical mechanism — the canonised prohibition, the word outrunning its authors, the interpreter class, the original reason rendered irrelevant — is found running beneath a Judahite scribal cult, a Roman imperial church, and an Arabian tribal

unification alike, the costume can no longer be doing the explaining. Something invariant is at work across the maximum variation the record allows, and that invariant is the machine this project anatomises: the floor of force, the managed belief that makes it cheap, the founding word canonised and naturalised, and the loaded language that cannot be fenced. The God of Abraham and his law against the image are, on this reading, not three religions' shared possession by accident of borrowing, but one word demonstrating, three times over, what a canonised word *does* — which is to say, the same thing in every costume, because the body beneath the costumes is the same.

PART VIII

RECOGNITION

What the third reaching shows, and the verdict the brackets allow: that the word was reached for to meet a real need and met it; that it then outran every reason it was reached for, toward unity and order and dignity in one direction and toward rigidity and conquest in another; and that the costs and the truth alike are held where an honest frame must hold them

The Reaching and Its Long Shadow

The recognition this study reaches is the one the whole sequence has been building toward, and it is held, like all the project's recognitions, as tested and not revealed. A marginal man in a fractured peninsula reached for the God of Abraham and the law against the image — materials already lying in his field — to meet a real and structural need, the want of an order that could bind the feuding tribes into one community; and the reaching met the need, with a completeness no idol housed in a common shrine had ever achieved, because a single God who admits no other subordinates every tribal deity at once. That is the function, read on the surface, with the truth of the revelation bracketed throughout and the believer's conviction that this was God's own restoration of Abraham's pure religion set respectfully outside a frame that cannot judge it. But the reached-for word, once canonised as the uncreated and eternal speech of God, did what every canonised word in this project has done: it outran the reason it was reached for, and it ran in more than one direction at once. It ran toward unity where there had been feud, toward a law shared across peoples who had shared nothing, toward a civilisation of learning and art and order that carried the word's own dignity — the imageless God, the word and the pattern raised where the idol had stood. And it ran, as the same word ran in its other costumes, toward rigidity where the canon could not be revised, toward the violence of conquest that carried it across three continents, toward the long reach of a prohibition that, fixed beyond revision, would bind and at times constrain the peoples it had unified. The costs are counted on every side, and no side is graded on a curve, because the honest frame holds the order and the dignity in the same hand as the conquest and the constraint, and calls the whole of it the work of a word that outran its author.

The Word, and the Mirror

And the recognition turns, at the last, into the mirror the project always turns it into. The reader formed inside the Western inheritance can see this third reaching with a clarity he cannot turn on his

own — can watch a word reached for to solve one peninsula's problem become the unexaminable truth of a third of the world, and feel how completely the original reason was forgotten while the word ran on. That clarity is the gift of being formed outside the system observed. But the word observed here is the *same word* that was deposited into the reader's own inheritance — the one God of Abraham, the prohibition of the image, the first commandments of the Mosaic law that the companion study traced from Sinai into the operating reflexes of Europe. The Western reader's own civilisation is a fourth room furnished by deployments of this same word, and the reflexes it left in him feel to him exactly as much like the plain grain of reality as the canonised word feels to the believer formed inside Islam. To see the third reaching clearly and not turn the seeing inward, onto the deposits of the same word in one's own formation, would be to perform the very blindness the project exists to dissolve. The word is one; the costumes are many; and every reader is wearing one of them.

Debug, do not defend. Read every word that is handed down as the eternal and unexaminable truth of things — in any costume, including the costumes of one's own formation — as a word that was once reached for, by someone in a position, to meet a need with the materials at hand, and then canonised beyond the reach of the reason that reached for it. There is no founding word in any of these three inheritances that was not laid down or reached for by a human hand solving a human problem; and there is no final reading, including this one. Once it is written, it is written — but what was written can always be read again, and shown to have had a maker, and a reason, and a history. That reading is the one freedom a canonised word leaves open. Gardening, not architecture. Tested, not revealed.

A Note on Sources

›

A study of this kind verifies its load-bearing specifics against current scholarship, relies on neutral and traditional sources rather than polemical ones, and flags what the scholarship disputes; the reading drawn here is one well-grounded reconstruction, held as such.

On pre-Islamic Arabia and the Kaaba: the standard scholarship on the religion of pre-Islamic Arabia, and the traditional account preserved in the earliest biography (Ibn Ishaq, 'Sirat Rasul Allah') and the hadith collections, which record the Kaaba as housing some three hundred and sixty idols, Hubal chief among them, under the custodianship of the Quraysh, with the 'haram' functioning as a violence-free sanctuary, pilgrimage-fair, and engine of trade and mediation. The pre-Islamic picture rests substantially on later Islamic tradition, and the historical-critical scholarship on early Islam (the traditional account against the revisionist readings) is genuinely contested; the text flags this and holds the reconstruction as tested, not revealed. On the Islamic self-understanding of the Kaaba as the shrine of Abraham and Ishmael, corrupted into idolatry and restored — the 'millat Ibrahim' — the Quranic and traditional sources, represented as the tradition's own account.

On tawhid and shirk: the doctrine of the absolute oneness of God and of association as the gravest and, uniquely, unforgivable sin (Quran 4:48; and the condemnation of the stone altars at 5:90, read by Muslim scholars as a dismantling of the priestly economy as well as the idols). On the cleansing of the Kaaba (traditionally 630 CE) and the recitation that truth had come and falsehood vanished (Quran 17:81). On aniconism: the academic literature on Islamic aniconism (and John Esposito, 'What Everyone Needs to Know about Islam'), noting that the Quran does not explicitly forbid images but condemns idolatry, that the more explicit prohibitions are in the hadith, that the religious art is characteristically non-figural — calligraphic, geometric, floral — and that figural art nonetheless flourished in courtly and secular manuscript traditions of Persia, the Ottomans, and the Mughals.

On the canon: the gathering of the Quran into the authoritative Uthmanic codex and the suppression of variants; and the doctrine, crystallised in the later theological controversies, of the Quran as the uncreated and eternal speech of God. On the polity: the 'hijra' to Medina (622 CE), the agreement binding its tribes into one community, and the conquests that carried the word across three continents within a century. On the interpreter class, the standard treatments of the 'ulama' and the schools of law. On the model: Magna Carta (1215), clause 39, whose 'no free man' ('nullus liber homo') named in its authors' intent a limited class and outran them into a guarantee of universal liberty.

The framework and method — the machine of force and managed belief, the loaded words that outrun their authors and cannot be fenced, the architect's move, canonisation and naturalisation, the interpreter class, the substrate-independence of belief, the brackets on sincerity and on the truth of

religion, and the tested-not-revealed posture — are stated in the project's companion papers, above all 'The Standing Frame', and worked in the companion studies on the anti-image law, on the Society of Jesus, on Jesus of Nazareth, and on the deicide charge.